

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/816,049	BARRUS, JOHN W.	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Quoc A. Tran (USPTO). (3) _____.

(2) Tatiana Rossin (Attorney). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2009

Time: 3PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

1-64 and 66-77

Prior art documents discussed:

No

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Quoc A. Tran/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2176

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this case. Applicant's Attorney agrees to the following: amended of claims 1 and 33-64 and 66-77. The claims have been amended in accordance with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideration of the pending claims and passing them allowance is agreed upon.